



Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The need for clarity on agreed ethical standards is a prerequisite for everyone involved in the publishing process, i.e. authors, editors, reviewers, and the publisher, of the European Procurement & Public-Private Partnership Law Review (EPPPL) by Lexxion Verlagsgesellschaft mbH.

This statement is meant to be a resource to rely on in case of doubt or dispute. EPPPL's Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement is based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors, which is also the reference text if further clarifications and information are needed.

1. General Duties of the Publisher

EPPPL is accountable for the content published, therefore it also holds the responsibility of deciding which submissions should be accepted for publication. This editorial choice is made on the basis of the quality of the material and of its integrity in order to meet the need of readers and authors, and to improve the quality of the journal.

The publisher may experience constraints such as legal requirements and will act accordingly.

EPPPL supports equal rights and opportunities. Every submission is therefore taken into due consideration and individually evaluated for its content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Every submission is subject to a double-blind peer review prior to the publication. EPPPL does not disclose any information about the submitted content and its individual evaluation, as well as the authors' and reviewers' identity. The same applies to unpublished material, unless the prior explicit consent of the individuals concerned is obtained.

2. General Duties of the Reviewers

Reviewers should follow ESTAL's ethical statement and provide an unbiased, non-personal, and objective review of the contributions submitted to them. The reviews must be a tool for improving the quality of the papers, therefore any criticism by the reviewers must be supported by strong arguments. Reviewers must treat any submitted manuscript confidentially, and must not use the content to their advantage, unless specific agreements are made with the authors.

3. General Duties of the Authors

Authors must present an accurate account of the work performed by presenting sufficient material to permit the replication of the work.

Authors ensure the originality of their work and the citation of the work of others present in their own, in terms of both specific quotation, and general influence and guidance.

Authors should not submit a manuscript to multiple journals at the same time, nor should they submit contributions already published (partially or as a whole) elsewhere.

Authors must verify their compliance with ethical standards in the treatment of study participants: human or animal, and provide information about the procedures adopted in their studies. Should the contributing authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify the journal.